

**Res. #1654 - Exhibit A - Amended
City of Enumclaw
2020-2025
Transportation Improvement Program**

**City of Enumclaw
Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program
2020-2025**

(For period beginning July 1, 2019)

TIP ID#	Project Name	City Revenue Breakdown				City Revenue by Year					
		Other	REET 130	REET 131	Imp Fee 158	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
1	Warner Avenue Pavement Rehabilitation										
2	Warner-Semanski Intersection Improvements				\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000					
3	Foothills Trail					\$ -					
4	Battersby Trail					\$ -					
5	Warner Avenue - Pavement Rehab		\$ 20,000			\$ 20,000					
6	Warner Avenue - Pavement Rehab		\$ 700,000		\$ -		\$ 700,000				
7	Washington Avenue Improvements (CP N10) *		\$ 55,000				\$ 55,000				
8	Watson Pedestrian Improvements (CP N11) *										
9	Porter Street Pedestrian Improvements (SR169) (CP N12)*										
10	Porter Street Pedestrian Improvements (SR169) (CP N12)*										
11	Warner Avenue Pavement Preservation *										
12	Cole Street - Overlay										
13	Railroad Street - Street Improvements		\$ 476,129			\$ 476,129					
14	WSDOT - SR169 Overlay										
15	WSDOT - SR169 Overlay										
16	SR410/SR164 Signal Modifications				\$ 12,000		\$ 12,000				
17	SR410/SR164 Signal Modifications				\$ 48,000		\$ 48,000				
18	244th Ave SE - Roosevelt Ave. Intersection Improvements		\$ 80,000						\$ 80,000		
19	244th Ave SE - Roosevelt Ave. Intersection Improvements		\$ 170,000							\$ 170,000	
20	Roosevelt Ave. Rehab		\$ 45,000							\$ 45,000	
21	Roosevelt Ave. Rehab		\$ 80,000								80,000
22	ADA Ramp Retrofit Program		\$ 75,000			\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 25,000	
23	Sidewalk Program		\$ 150,000			\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000			\$ 50,000	
24	Annual Pavement Maintenance Program										

Total Six-Year Program Cost (2020-2025) \$ - \$ 1,851,129 \$ - \$ 660,000 \$ 1,171,129 \$ 755,000 \$ 135,000 \$ 80,000 \$ 290,000 \$ 80,000

* Added to First Draft

City Rev Tot: \$ 2,511,129

Ck City Rev \$ 2,511,129
Ck TBD City
CK TBD Total \$ 2,209,216

DRAFT FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

Title 15 outlines the findings required to approve comprehensive plan amendments/code amendments. EMC section 15.32.038 outlines three general requirements and six consistency statements to be used when evaluating the merits of the proposals.

1. The amendment will not result in development that will adversely affect the public health, safety and general welfare.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that the proposed amendments to the six-year transportation improvement plan will not adversely affect the public health, safety and general welfare. The revised plan will facilitate roadway construction projects for the next six years within the city.

2. The amendment is based upon new information that was not available at the time of adoption of the comprehensive plan, or that circumstances have changed since the adoption of the plan that warrant an amendment to the plan.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that circumstances have changed as the amendment is necessary as part of the yearly comprehensive plan amendments to adjust the schedule as projects are finished and others are included.

3. The amendment[s] is consistent with other goals and policies of the comprehensive plan, and that the amendment will maintain concurrency between the land use, transportation, and capital facilities element of the plan.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that the proposal is consistent with the goals and policies within the Comprehensive Plan, which states:

Goal T-2 Preserve, maintain, and operate the existing transportation system in a safe, functional and satisfactory condition.

The amendment is consistent with the goal above.

15.38.038(B):

1. The amendment[s] should address an existing, significant need for a public necessity or convenience.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that proposal the need to maintain the aging infrastructure within the city.

2. The amendment[s] should be in the public's best interest.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find the amendment is in the public's best interest. The updated six year TIP is the roadmap for the maintenance and construction of roadway projects within the city.

3. The amendment should be compatible with all adjacent comprehensive plan and zoning map designations.

Staff response: The amendment is not a land use or zoning map amendment and is therefore not applicable.

4. The amendment should be compatible with all elements of the comprehensive plan and zoning code.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that the amendment is compatible with the city's comprehensive plan and zoning code.

5. The amendment[s] should be compatible with and should not adversely impact related ordinances, regulations and development standards.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that the amendment will not adversely impact any existing ordinances, regulations or conflict with existing development standards.

6. The amendment should not adversely impact the health, safety and general welfare of the public; the city design; development interests; neighborhoods; environmentally sensitive areas; and historic areas.

Staff response: Staff and the Planning Commission find that the proposed amendment should not adversely impact the health, safety and general welfare of the public; the city design; development interests; neighborhoods; environmentally sensitive or historic areas. The amendment will facilitate maintenance and construction of roadway projects within the city for the next six years.